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# Executive Summary

## Introduction

The Central Lancashire Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showperson Accommodation Assessment 2022 (GTAA) provides the latest available evidence to identify the accommodation needs of Gypsies and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople across the Central Lancashire are which comprises Preston City, Chorley Borough and South Ribble Borough council areas.

The GTAA has included:

* A review of existing (secondary) data; and
* A household survey and site/yard observation. A total of 26 Gypsy and Traveller households living on pitches were interviewed in 2022 out of a total of 26 households, a 100% response rate. In addition, two interviews were achieved from households living in bricks and mortar accommodation.

These data have been analysed to provide a picture of current provision and activity across the district and an assessment of future need. The findings of the study provide an up-to-date, robust and defensible evidence base for policy development.

We are very grateful to the Gypsy and Traveller communities across Central Lancashire for their positive engagement with the work and for the assistance provided by site owners to facilitate interviews.

## Population and current accommodation provision

The 2011 Census identified a total of 58 households across Central Lancashire where the Household Reference Person had a ‘White: Gypsy or Irish Traveller’ ethnicity. Of these, 75.9% lived in bricks and mortar housing and 24.1% lived in a caravan.

Culturally appropriate provision across Central Lancashire includes:

Preston – one former council site now leased to the community to manage (15 pitches); and one unauthorised site (8 pitches)

Chorley – one temporary authorised site (2 pitches)

There are no sites in South Ribble and no Travelling Showperson’s yards in Central Lancashire. Private sites tend to be occupied by extended family groups. This means the ability of non-family members to move onto private sites is limited.

The bi-annual DCLG Traveller caravan count (January 2016 to July 2021) reported an average of 30 caravans on Gypsy and Traveller sites across Central Lancashire and none on Travelling Showperson’s yards.

## Planning policy requirements for needs assessments

The 2021 National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) states in Paragraph 62 ‘*the size, type and tenure of housing needed for different groups in the community should be assessed and reflected in planning policy (including travellers)*’. A footnote in the NPPF then states ‘*Planning Policy for Traveller Sites sets out how travellers’ housing needs should be assessed for those covered by the definition in Annex 1 of that document’*.

The 2015 Planning Policy for Traveller Site (PPTS) document states that ‘local planning *authorities should make their own assessment of need for the purposes of planning’* and ‘*ensure that their Local Plan includes fair, realistic and inclusive policies’ and ‘to increase the number of traveller sites in appropriate locations with planning permission, to address under provision and maintain an appropriate level of supply’.*

In the absence of further guidance on preparing GTAAs, the methods adopted by arc4 reflect the PPTS, build upon those methods established through previous guidance, our practical experience and decisions made at planning inquiries and appeals.

### ‘Cultural’ and ‘PPTS need’

##### Government policy encourages authorities to meet the needs of all Gypsies and Travellers. The PPTS is used to plan for the accommodation needs of those Gypsies and Travellers who continue to lead a nomadic habit of life, even if they are temporarily not travelling. The NPPF is used to plan for the accommodation needs of those Gypsies and Travellers that no longer lead a nomadic habit of life. In both respects national policy requires need to be assessed and then a five year supply of sites to meet that need to be identified as part of the Local Plan.

The GTAA establishes an overall ‘cultural’ need for pitches which accords with the overall need for Gypsy and Traveller culturally appropriate accommodation and takes into account the Human Rights Act 1998, the Equalities Act 2010 and the Housing and Planning Act 2016 section 124. Within this overall need, the specific need from households who meet the nomadic habit of life definitions set out in PPTS Annex 1 is identified. Analysis of the travelling behaviour of households or emerging households planning to move residential location indicates that 82.1% of all households meet the nomadic habit of life/travelling behaviour criteria set out in the PPTS.

### Plan periods

Need has been assessed over a short-term period: 2021/22 to 2025/26 and longer-term period: 2026/27 to 2035/36.

## Gypsy and Traveller pitch requirements

### Overall need

There is an overall cultural need for 44 additional Gypsy and Traveller pitches across Central Lancashire over the period 2021/22 to 2035/36. Of this need, 41 is from households who meet the PPTS nomadic habit of life definition and 3 are from households who do meet the PPTS definition. This takes into account the needs arising from existing households, households on unauthorised sites, newly forming households, in-migrant households and also the current vacancies on existing sites.

The pitch shortfall over the first five-year and full plan period by local authority are set out in detail in Table ES1.

Table ES1 Plan period Gypsy and Traveller pitch need 2021/22 to 2035/36

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **TOTAL CULTURAL NEED** |  |  |  |  |
|  | **Chorley** | **Preston** | **South Ribble** | **Central Lancashire Total** |
| **5yr Authorised Pitch Shortfall (2021/22 to 2025/26)** | **10** | **24** | **0** | **34** |
| **Longer-term need** |  |  |  |  |
| *Over period 2026/27 to 2030/31* | *0* | *3* | *0* | *3* |
| *Over period 2031/32 to 2035/36* | *0* | *7* | *0* | *7* |
| ***Longer-term need TOTAL*** | ***0*** | ***10*** | ***0*** | ***10*** |
| **TOTAL NET SHORTFALL 2021/22 to 2035/36** | **10** | **34** | **0** | **44** |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| **Of which PPTS need:** |  |  |  |  |
|  | **Chorley** | **Preston** | **South Ribble** | **Central Lancashire Total** |
| **5yr Authorised Pitch Shortfall (2021/22 to 2025/26)** | **10** | **21** | **0** | **31** |
| **Longer-term need** |  |  |  |  |
| *Over period 2026/27 to 2030/31* | *0* | *3* | *0* | *3* |
| *Over period 2031/32 to 2035/36* | *0* | *7* | *0* | *7* |
| ***Longer-term need TOTAL*** | ***0*** | ***10*** | ***0*** | ***10*** |
| **TOTAL NET SHORTFALL 2021/22 to 2035/36** | **10** | **31** | **0** | **41** |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Of which non-PPTS need:** |  |  |  |  |
|  | **Chorley** | **Preston** | **South Ribble** | **Central Lancashire Total** |
| **5yr Authorised Pitch Shortfall (2021/22 to 2025/26)** | **0** | **3** | **0** | **3** |
| **Longer-term need** |  |  |  |  |
| *Over period 2026/27 to 2030/31* | *0* | *0* | *0* | *0* |
| *Over period 2031/32 to 2035/36* | *0* | *0* | *0* | *0* |
| ***Longer-term need TOTAL*** | ***0*** | ***0*** | ***0*** | ***0*** |
| **TOTAL NET SHORTFALL 2021/22 to 2035/36** | **0** | **3** | **0** | **3** |

### Meeting the need

Discussions with private site owners identified a series of potential additional sites across Central Lancashire. For confidentiality and commercial sensitivity reasons the specific details are withheld in this report but councils has been made aware of these sites. The capacity is up to 20 pitches.

There is potential to regularise 8 unauthorised and 2 temporary authorised pitches across Central Lancashire

There is a 0.4ha land allocation at Cowling Farm in Chorley Borough for up to 5 pitches.

Therefore, there is potential for up to 35 pitches to help meet need over the Plan Period.

### Summary of need

In summary:

* Over the plan period 2021/22 to 2035/36, there is an overall need for 44 pitches of which 41 is PPTS need and 3 is non-PPTS need.
* Over the five year period 2021/22 to 2025/26, there is an overall need for 34 of which 31 is PPTS need and 3 is non-PPTS need.

A potential supply of up to 35 additional pitches has been identified. This would be sufficient to address the 5-year need for both PPTS and non-PPTS need.

In the longer-term, there would remain an overall cultural shortfall of 6 pitches but all PPTS need would be met.

The 2022 GTAA establishes an annualised need for 3 pitches. The previous 2015 GTAA evidenced a need for 33 pitches over the period 2013/14 to 2025/26 (2.5 pitches each year). The annualised need for pitches has therefore increased and this can be attributed to a higher number of children on sites which is increasing levels of household formation.

## Travelling Showperson plot requirements

There is currently no Travelling Showperson’s provision in Central Lancashire.

## Temporary stopping places and transit provision

The council should consider the options for transit provision. A blend of different approaches may be appropriate, with an emphasis on negotiated stopping arrangements rather than a dedicated transit site.

### Policy recommendations

Government policy encourages authorities to meet the needs of all Gypsies and Travellers through the NPPF for those who no longer travel and the PPTS for those who have a nomadic habit of life.

The overall needs evidenced in this report indicate a total shortfall of 44 pitches (of which 41 is PPTS need and 3 is non-PPTS need) over the period 2021/22 to 2035/36).

The study has identified up to 35 pitches to help meet need over the Plan Period. This would be sufficient to address the 5-year need for both PPTS and non-PPTS need. In the longer-term, there would remain an overall cultural shortfall of 6 pitches but all PPTS need would be met. It is recommended this is met through additional pitch development in the longer-term.

It is recommended that the council engage with private site owners to consider new site applications to bring forward additional pitches and consider regularising unauthorised and temporary authorised sites

# Introduction

## Overview

* 1. In February 2022, arc4 was commissioned by Central Lancashire local authorities to prepare the 2022 Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showperson Accommodation Assessment (GTAA).
  2. The overall scope of the study is to ensure that the councils have a robust evidence base to determine an appropriate level of residential pitch and transit provision over the period to 2036 (financial year 2035/36) and to identify the accommodation needs of Gypsies and Travellers to meet both the Planning Policy for Traveller Sites (PPTS)-defined need and the council’s obligations under section 124 of the Housing and Planning Act 2016.

## Who the study covers

* 1. The GTAA 2022 adopts the definition of ‘Gypsies and Travellers’ set out within PPTS, which was published by the government in August 2015. This sets out the following definition of ‘Gypsies and Travellers’:

*‘Persons of nomadic habit of life whatever their race or origin, including such persons who on grounds only of their own or their family’s or dependants’ educational or health needs or old age have ceased to travel temporarily, but excluding members of an organised group of Travelling Showpeople or circus people travelling together as such’* (**MHCLG Planning policy for traveller sites August 2015 Annex 1, para 1.)**

* 1. In addition, PPTS 2015 provides the following ‘clarification’ for determining whether someone is a Gypsy or Traveller:

*‘In determining whether persons are “gypsies and travellers” for the purposes of this planning policy, consideration should be given to the following issues amongst other relevant matters:*

*a) whether they previously led a nomadic habit of life*

*b) the reasons for ceasing their nomadic habit of life*

*c) whether there is an intention of living a nomadic habit of life in the future, and if so, how soon and in what circumstances.’* **(MHCLG Planning policy for traveller sites August 2015 Annex 1, para 2)**

* 1. The following definition of ‘Travelling Showpeople’ is set out in PPTS 2015:

*‘Members of a group organised for the purposes of holding fairs, circuses or shows (whether or not travelling together as such). This includes such persons who on the grounds of their own or their family’s or dependants’ more localised pattern of trading, educational or health needs or old age have ceased to travel temporarily, but excludes Gypsies and Travellers as defined above.’* **(MHCLG Planning policy for traveller sites August 2015 Annex 1, para 3)**

* 1. In addition:

*‘For the purposes of this planning policy, “pitch” means a pitch on a “gypsy and traveller” site and “plot” means a pitch on a “travelling showpeople” site (often called a “yard”). This terminology differentiates between residential pitches for “gypsies and travellers” and mixed-use pitches for “travelling showpeople”, which may/will need to incorporate space or to be split to allow for the storage of equipment.’* **(MHCLG Planning policy for traveller sites August 2015 Annex 1, para 5).**

* 1. For the purposes of this study, therefore, Gypsies and Travellers live on pitches on sites, whilst Travelling Showpeople live on plots on yards.

## Report structure

* 1. The GTAA 2022 report structure is as follows:
* **Chapter 1 Introduction**: provides an overview of the study.
* **Chapter 2 Policy and local context**: presents a review of the national policy context which guides the study.
* **Chapter 3 Methodology**: provides details of the study’s research methodology.
* **Chapter 4 Review of current Gypsy and Traveller population and provision of pitches/plots**: reviews estimates of the Gypsy and Traveller and Travelling Showpeople population across Central Lancashire and existing site/yard provision.
* **Chapter 5 Household survey:** presents relevant data obtained from the household survey research.
* **Chapter 6 Pitch/plot/transit requirements**: focuses on current and future pitch/plot requirements. This chapter includes a detailed assessment of drivers of demand, supply and current shortfalls across the district.
* **Chapter 7 Conclusion and strategic response**: concludes the report, providing brief summary of key findings and recommendations.
  1. The report is supplemented by the following appendices:
* **Appendix A** Household questionnaires.
* **Appendix B** Glossary of terms.

# Policy and local context

* 1. This study is grounded in an understanding of the national legislative and planning policy context that underpins the assessment and provision of accommodation for Gypsies and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople.
  2. This chapter sets out the policy context within which this GTAA has been prepared, including a consideration of the previous GTAA for the Central Lancashire area.

## Government policy and guidance

* 1. The 2021 National Planning Policy Framework states in Paragraph 62 ‘*the size, type and tenure of housing needed for different groups in the community should be assessed and reflected in planning policies’.* It then lists a number of groups including Travellers. A footnote to the paragraph states ‘*Planning Policy for Traveller Sites sets out how travellers’ housing needs should be assessed for those covered by the definition in Annex 1 of that document’*.
  2. The 2015 Planning Policy for Traveller Site (PPTS) document states that ‘local planning *authorities should make their own assessment of need for the purposes of planning’* and ‘*ensure that their Local Plan includes a fair, realistic and inclusive policies’ and ‘to increase the number of traveller sites in appropriate locations with planning permission, to address under provision and maintain an appropriate level of supply’.*
  3. Policy A of the 2015 PPTS relates to evidence needed to plan positively and manage development. This states *‘in assembling the evidence base necessary to support their planning approach, local planning authorities should:*
* *pay particular attention to early and effective community engagement with both settled and traveller communities (including discussing travellers’ accommodation needs with travellers themselves, their representative bodies and local support groups);*
* *co-operate with travellers, their representative bodies and local support groups; other local authorities and relevant interest groups to prepare and maintain an up-to-date understanding of the likely permanent and transit accommodation needs of their areas over the lifespan of their development plan, working collaboratively with neighbouring local planning authorities; and*
* *use a robust evidence base to establish accommodation needs to inform the preparation of local plans and make planning decisions.*
  1. In the absence of further guidance on preparing GTAAs, the methods adopted by arc4 reflect Policy A of the PPTS; build upon those methods established through previous guidance; our practical experience and findings from planning inquiries and appeals.
  2. The calculation of pitch/plot requirements is based on established DCLG modelling methodology, as advocated in *Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation Needs Assessment Guidance* (DCLG, 2007). Although this guidance was formally withdrawn in December 2016, in the absence of any updated guidance on the subject, it continues to provide a good practice approach for needs modelling.
  3. Policy B of the 2015 PPTS relates to planning for traveller sites and requires Local Plans:
* to be prepared with the objective of contributing to the achievement of sustainable development and consistent with the policies of the NPPF; and
* to set pitch targets for Gypsies and Travellers and plot targets for Travelling Showpeople as defined in Annex 1 which address the likely permanent and transit site accommodation needs of travellers in their area, working collaboratively with neighbouring local planning authorities.
  1. Policy B (paragraph 10) sets out that local authorities should, in producing their Local Plan:
     + - 1. identify and update annually, a supply of specific deliverable sites sufficient to provide 5 years’ worth of sites against their locally set targets;
         2. identify a supply of specific, developable sites, or broad locations for growth, for years 6 to 10 and, where possible, for years 11-15;
         3. consider production of joint development plans that set targets on a cross-authority basis, to provide more flexibility in identifying sites, particularly if a local planning authority has special or strict planning constraints (local planning authorities have a duty to cooperate on planning issues that cross administrative boundaries);
         4. relate the number of pitches or plots to the circumstances of the specific size and location of the site and the surrounding population’s size and density; and
         5. protect local amenity and environment.
  2. Policy B (paragraph 13) sets out that local authorities should ensure that traveller sites are sustainable economically, socially and environmentally and therefore ensure that their policies:
     + - 1. promote peaceful and integrated co-existence between the site and the local community;
         2. promote, in collaboration with commissioners of health services, access to appropriate health services;
         3. ensure that children can attend school on a regular basis;
         4. provide a settled base that reduces both the need for long-distance travelling and possible environmental damage caused by unauthorised encampment;
         5. provide for proper consideration of the effect of local environmental quality (such as noise and air quality) on the health and well-being of any travellers who may locate there or on others as a result of new development;
         6. avoid placing undue pressure on local infrastructure and services;
         7. do not locate sites in areas at high risk of flooding, including functional floodplains, given the particular vulnerability of caravans; and
         8. reflect the extent to which traditional lifestyles (whereby some travellers live and work from the same location thereby omitting many travel to work journeys) can contribute to sustainability.

#### Intentional unauthorised development

* 1. The planning policy statement issued with PPTS 2015 (<https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/457632/Final_Chief_Planning_Officer_letter_and_written_statement.pdf>) and confirmed by Ministerial Statement (<http://www.parliament.uk/business/publications/written-questions-answers-statements/written-statement/Commons/2015-12-17/HCWS423/>) makes clear that if a site is intentionally occupied without planning permission this would be a material consideration in any retrospective planning application for that site. Whilst this does not mean that retrospective applications will be automatically refused, it does mean that failure to seek permission in advance of occupation will count against the application.
  2. In addition, PPTS 2015 (Paragraph 12) makes clear that in exceptional cases where a local authority is burdened by a large-scale unauthorised site that has significantly increased their need, and their area is subject to strict and special planning constraints, then there is no assumption that the local authority will be required to meet their Gypsy and Traveller site needs in full. This is intended to protect local planning authorities with significant land constraints from being required to provide for additional needs arising directly from large sites such as Dale Farm (a large unauthorised site in Essex).

## Considering ‘Cultural’ and ‘PPTS’ need

* 1. Paragraph 62 of the 2021 NPPF recognises the need to assess a range of community needs including those of Travellers. Government policy encourages authorities to meet the needs of all Gypsies and Travellers. The PPTS is used to plan for the accommodation needs of those Gypsies and Travellers who continue to lead a nomadic habit of life, even if they are temporarily not travelling. The NPPF is used to plan for the accommodation needs of those Gypsies and Travellers that no longer lead a nomadic habit of life. In both respects policy requires need to be assessed and then a five year supply of sites to meet that need to be identified as part of the Local Plan. This approach also reflects the council’s obligations under the Human Rights Act 1998, the Equalities Act 2010 and the Housing and Planning Act 2016 section 124.
  2. Our assessment methodology is set out in Chapter 3 and the outworking of this approach for Central Lancashire is set out in Chapter 6.

## Responding to challenges

* 1. GTAAs are subject to intense scrutiny, particularly at planning inquiries and hearings. The methodological approaches taken by arc4 aim to maximise the robustness of evidence and measures include:
* An up-to-date assessment of the number of pitches on sites and the number of households living on pitches and any vacancies.
* Maximising response rates from households.
* Carefully considering the relationship between households and the pitches they occupy.
* Sensitive analysis of the flows of existing households from and to districts.
* Consideration of overcrowding and concealed households.
* Careful consideration of turnover on council/housing association pitches, with clear assumptions over future potential capacity from households moving away from pitches.
* Longer-term modelling of need using detailed demographic information contained from household survey work.
* Reasonable assumptions around the number of households in bricks and mortar accommodation who may want to move to a pitch.

# Methodology

## Introduction

* 1. The GTAA research method is grounded in an understanding of the requirement of the NPPF and based on an established methodology which regularly withstands scrutiny at planning inquiries and hearings.
  2. The methodology has comprised:
* a desktop analysis of existing documents, including data on pitches/sites, plots/yards and unauthorised encampments;
* the collection of primary data, including household interviews with Gypsies and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople; and
* an assessment of accommodation needs taking into account all available data and information.
  1. The information gathering has been carried out in three phases, as outlined below:
* Phase 1: Literature/desktop review.
* Phase 2: Survey of Gypsies and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople across the district.
* Phase 3: Needs assessment and production of the GTAA 2022 report.

## Phase 1: Literature/desktop review and steering group discussions

* 1. We have reviewed relevant literature, including legislative background and best practice information; and analysis of available secondary data relating to Gypsies and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople in the district. The research has been overseen by a steering group comprising local authority officers.

## Phase 2: Fieldwork survey and interviews with Gypsies and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople

* 1. Our aim is to obtain interviews from all households living on sites using a standard questionnaire (Appendix A). This questionnaire has been carefully designed in consultation with councils, feedback from community representatives and planning agents. Site visits and interviews took place during March 2022 and households were left with a leaflet explaining the work.
  2. Regarding the PPTS definition, the questionnaire asks if households have been travelling in the preceding year or within the past five years and/or intend to travel in the next year or in any year in the next five years. Note that households who cannot travel due to age or health limitations are excluded from analysis. If eligible households answer ‘yes’ to either question the household meets the travelling criteria set out in PPTS. By contrast, all households identifying as part of the Gypsy and Traveller or Travelling Showpeople community are contained within a broader ‘cultural’ definition.
  3. Analysis of the travelling behaviour of households indicates that 82.1% meet the nomadic habit of life/travelling behaviour criteria set out in PPTS. These households meet the criteria by either travelling within the past five years and/or intend to travel in the next year or in any year in the next five years. This is discussed further in the analysis in Chapter 7.

## Phase 3: Needs assessment and production of report

* 1. A detailed explanation of the pitch requirements is contained in Chapter 6 but briefly comprises analysis of the following:
* current pitch supply, households living in bricks and mortar accommodation; households planning to move in the next five years, and emerging households to give total need for pitches; and
* supply based on the number of authorised pitches and turnover on sites on public pitches.
  1. The overall need for pitches is then compared with the overall supply.

### Pitches and households

* 1. One of the key challenges faced when assessing Gypsy and Traveller pitch requirements is the actual nature of pitches and how this relates to the number of households they can support.
  2. PPTS 2015 refers to the need for local planning authorities to ‘*identify and update annually, a supply of specific deliverable sites sufficient to provide 5 years’ worth of sites against their locally set targets’* and *‘relate the number of pitches/plots to the circumstances of the specific size and location of the site and the surrounding population’s size and density’* (PPTS 2015, paragraph 10).
  3. Planning decision notices usually refer to the number of pitches on a site or the specifics of what can be on a pitch e.g. statics, tourers; or specific individuals and/or households.
  4. As part of the GTAA, it is essential that the characteristics of sites, the number of pitches and how many households these can support is carefully considered. There are a range of issues which need to be considered when reviewing site and pitch characteristics and their potential implications for future pitch and site requirements which are now summarised.

### Site and pitch size

* 1. There are no definitive parameters for site or pitch sizes. Although now withdrawn, the DCLG Designing Gypsy and Traveller sites: good practice guide (2008) states in paragraph 4.4 that ‘*Gypsy and Traveller sites are designed to provide land per household which is suitable for a mobile home, touring caravan and a utility building, together with space for parking. Sites of various sizes, layouts and pitch numbers operate successfully today and work best when they take into account the size of the site and the needs and demographics of the families resident on them’.*
  2. Paragraph 4.47 states that *‘to ensure fire safety it is essential that every trailer, caravan or park home must be not less than 6 metres from any other trailer, caravan or park home that is occupied separately’.*
  3. Paragraph 7.12 states that ‘*as a general guide, it is possible to specify that an average family pitch must be capable of accommodating an amenity building, a large trailer and touring caravan (or two trailers, drying space for clothes, a lockable shed (for bicycles, wheelchair storage etc.), parking space for two vehicles and a small garden area’*.
  4. Paragraph 4.13 states that *‘smaller pitches must be able to accommodate at least an amenity building, a large trailer, drying space for clothes and parking for at least one vehicle’.*
  5. As a general guide, it is suggested by arc4 that the minimum pitch size is 25m by 25m (625 square meters or 0.0625 hectares). This would support a twin-unit chalet/mobile home (15m x 6.5m), a tourer, an amenity shed/building (5m x 4m), two vehicle spaces (minimum 2.4m x 4.8m for each space) and turning circle for vehicles, amenity space and a small garden area/play space. Residential units need to be 3m from the pitch boundary and at least 6m apart. A slightly larger pitch of 25m by 30m (750 square meters or 0.075 hectares) would be appropriate for larger household units requiring several residential units.
  6. It is generally accepted amongst the Travelling community that private sites of up to 6-10 pitches are appropriate. Public sites tend to be larger and have policies and procedures in place to ensure the effective management of sites.
  7. In 2010, the Showmen’s Guild of Great Britain prepared a document ‘Travelling Showpeople’s sites: a planning focus – model standard package). This document was intended to provide guidelines to Travelling Showpeople and Local Planning Authorities when considering the needs of Travelling Showpeople.
  8. This document confirmed that:
* A plot is a piece of land occupied by a showperson with their family and equipment, used to store fairground rides, vehicles and other items as well as for living accommodation. The family unit can be large or small, for instance an extended family with grandparents, parents and children, or a single-family unit of parents and children.
* A yard is two or more plots owned by the same family who may use this for their own family purposes or may let part out to other Showpeople.
* A site is a group of plots where the land is owned by individual plot holders. Quite often a Showperson’s site will have a common shared access onto the main road network.
* Showpeople’s sites do not fit neatly into the definition of a one land use category. The sites combine a residential use with a storage use, and maintenance is also likely to be carried out on site. The residential use can take the form of static homes and caravans.
* For a Travelling Showperson yard, the minimum size of a plot is 0.5ha and should include space for residential accommodation and equipment storage.
  1. It is generally accepted amongst the Travelling community that private sites of up to 6-10 pitches are appropriate. Public sites tend to be larger and have policies and procedures in place to ensure the effective management of sites.

### Occupancy

* 1. A pitch may accommodate more than one family unit, for instance it could include a family, older children who have formed their own household and other family members. This could lead to potential overcrowding and this is considered as part of the GTAA household survey.
  2. Private sites may restrict occupancy to close family/friends. This limits opportunity for others to move onto the site but this restrictive occupancy may provide for emerging needs within a household, for example as grown-up children (previously living within a parent(s) or grandparent(s) home) form independent households of their own.
  3. Quality, size of pitch and proximity of caravans on pitches vary dramatically.

### Response

* 1. For each site, a pragmatic and reasonable judgement should be made as part of the GTAA regarding the number of pitches or sub-divisions on sites. This may relate to the number of families living on sites and could include a consideration of the potential intensification of sites (for instance through further sub-division, extension or use of vacant areas within the site). Capacity and layout of sites should be identified through site observation (directly or indirectly through Google maps or similar), planning history and local knowledge of planning, enforcement and liaison officers.
  2. Pitches can become intensified or sub-divided once planning applications have been approved. These sub-divisions tend to be tolerated by councils. Often pitches become subdivided to provide space for newly-forming households, particularly from family members. The potential for pitch intensification/sub-division was considered on site visits and respondents were asked whether intensification/sub-division was possible on the site they lived on.

# Review of the Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople population and existing provision of pitches and plots

* 1. This chapter looks at the current picture in terms of the current population and demography of Gypsies and Travellers across Central Lancashire before going on to explore the extent and nature of provision across the area.

## 2011 Census population and household estimates

* 1. The 2011 Census (Tables 4.1 and 4.2) identified a total of 153 people and 58 households in Central Lancashire with a Household Reference Person who identified as having a White Gypsy or Irish Traveller ethnicity. 75.9% of households who identified as Gypsy/Traveller lived in bricks and mortar accommodation and 24.1% lived in caravans.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Table 4.1 People from households identifying as White Gypsy or Irish Traveller by accommodation type | | | | |
| **Local Authority** | **Total: Accommodation type** | **House or bungalow** | **A flat, maisonette or apartment** | **A caravan or other mobile or temporary structure** |
| Chorley | 37 | 16 | 4 | 17 |
| Preston | 99 | 52 | 11 | 36 |
| South Ribble | 17 | 9 | 4 | 4 |
| Central Lancashire Total | 153 | 77 | 19 | 57 |

Source: 2011 Census CT127

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Table 4.2 Households identifying as Gypsy Traveller by accommodation type | | | | |
| **Local Authority** | **Total: Accommodation type** | **House or bungalow** | **A flat, maisonette or apartment** | **A caravan or other mobile or temporary structure** |
| Chorley | 16 | 10 | 2 | 4 |
| Preston | 35 | 23 | 4 | 8 |
| South Ribble | 7 | 4 | 1 | 2 |
| Central Lancashire Total | 58 | 37 | 7 | 14 |

Source: 2011 Census CT0128

## Caravan Count information

* 1. Snapshot counts of the number of Gypsy and Traveller caravans were requested by the government in 1979 and have since been undertaken every January and July by local authorities. An annual count of Travelling Showperson caravans takes place every January. The counts provide a source of information on the number of caravans located on authorised and unauthorised sites but do not record the actual number of pitches on sites/yards.
  2. The figures for the last ten Traveller caravan counts for Central Lancashire are set out in Table 4.3. Data shows an average of 30 caravans across the area during the period January 2016 to July 2021. Of these, 71.4% were on council sites, 11.5% on private (temporary authorised) sites and 17.1% on unauthorised sites.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Table 4.3 Bi-annual Traveller caravan count figures January 2016 to January 2021: Central Lancashire | | | | | |
| Date | Authorised sites with planning permission | | | Unauthorised sites without planning permission | Total |
| Social Rented | Total Private (Temporary) | Total Private (Permanent | Total Unauthorised |
| Jan 2016 | 22 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 33 |
| Jul 2016 | 23 | 5 | 0 | 18 | 46 |
| Jan 2017 | 22 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 27 |
| Jul 2017 | 23 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 25 |
| Jan 2018 | 22 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 28 |
| Jul 2018 | 23 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 25 |
| Jan 2019 | 22 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 27 |
| July 2019 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 34 |
| Jan 2020 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 34 |
| Jul 2021 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 25 |
| Ten-Count Average\* (Jan 2016- Jul 2021) | 22 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 30 |
| Ten-Count % Average\* (Jan 2016- Jul 2021) | 71.4% | 11.5% | 0.0% | 17.1% | 100.0% |

Source: MHCLG/DLUHC Traveller Caravan Count, Live Table 1

Counts not taken in July 2020 or during 2021 due to the COVID pandemic

* 1. Over the period 2015 to 2020 there was one reported Travelling Showperson caravan across Central Lancashire (1 during the 2018 count in South Ribble) in government caravan count statistics (Table 4.4). There were no reported caravans in Chorley or Preston.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Table 4.4 Annual Travelling Showpeople caravan count figures January 2015 to January 2020 | | | | | |
| **Count** | **Authorised sites with planning permission** | | **Unauthorised sites without planning permission** | **Total** |
| **Social Rented** | **Total Private** | **Total Unauthorised** |
| 2015 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2016 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2017 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2018 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 2019 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2020 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Six-Count Average | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Six-Count % Average | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Source: MHCLG/DULHC Travelling Showpeople Caravan Count, Live Table 3

## Local site and yards

* 1. Broadly speaking, authorised sites are those with planning permission and can be on either public or privately-owned land. Unauthorised sites are made up of either longer-term (approximately three months or longer ) unauthorised encampments and can be considered to be indicative of a permanent need for accommodation (in some instances local authorities class these as tolerated sites and do not take enforcement action to remove them); and unauthorised developments, where Travellers are residing upon land that they own and that does not have planning permission (see Appendix B for more detailed definitions).
  2. Table 4.5 sets out the range of sites across Central Lancashire. The table also shows the number of household survey responses achieved. There are a total of 3 sites across Central Lancashire:

Preston – one former council site now leased to the community to manage (15 pitches); and one unauthorised site (8 pitches)

Chorley – one temporary authorised site (2 pitches)

South Ribble – no sites.

* 1. There are no Travelling Showperson’s yards in Central Lancashire.
  2. Table 4.6 sets out assumptions regarding pitches and household occupancy on each site. This provides a transparent base position from which the modelling of future pitch in section 6 need can proceed.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Table 4.5 List of Gypsy & Traveller sites and Travelling Showperson yards (as at March 2022 | | | | | | | | |
| **Site Code** | **Site address** | **Postcode** | **Ownership** | **Number of pitches** | **Total households (Feb 2022)** | **Total vacant (Feb 2022)** | **Response** | **Non response** |
| Total |
| **Chorley** | | | | | | | | |
| TempAuth1 | Heath Paddock, Hut Lane, Chorley | PR6 9PB | Private Temporary Authorised | 2 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| **Preston** | | | | | | | | |
| LA1 | Leighton Street, Preston | PR1 2PX | Co-operative (previously Council) | 15 | 14 | 1 | 14 | 0 |
| Unauth1 | Rosemary Lane, Catforth, Preston | PR4 0HB | Unauthorised | 8 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 0 |
| **South Ribble** | | | | | | | | |
| No sites |  |  |  | **0** | **0** | **0** | **0** | **0** |
| **Central Lancashire Total** |  |  |  | **25** | **26** | **1** | **26** | **0** |

Source: Council data 2022, site survey and fieldwork 2022

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Table 4.6 Summary of pitch occupancy | | | | | | | | |
| Site code | Site name | Total Pitches | Total vacant | Total occupied pitches | **Total households** | Variance | Explanation of pitch and household |
| **Chorley** | | | | | | | | |
| TempAuth1 | Heath Paddock, Hut Lane, Chorley | 2 | 0 | 2 | **4** | 2 | Site is for 4 households across 2 pitches |
| **Preston** | | | | | | | | |
| LA1 | Leighton Street, Preston | 15 | 1 | 14 | **14** | 0 | One household living on each pitch, with no doubling up. One vacant pitch at the time of fieldwork |
| Unauth1 | Rosemary Lane, Catforth, Preston | 8 | 0 | 8 | **8** | 0 | Previously temporary authorised (ended July 2016) which state no more than 8 caravans (no more than 2 should be static caravans/mobile homes. For pragmatic purposes, 8 pitches are assumed in the GTAA. |
| **Central Lancashire Total** |  | **25** | **1** | **24** | **26** | **2** | Variance due to 4 households living across two pitches at Heath Paddock |

Source: Council data 2022, site survey and fieldwork 2022

Map 4.1 Location of sites and yards in Central Lancashire

Map
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# Household survey findings

* 1. This chapter presents the findings of the household survey, which was carried out to provide primary data to inform this GTAA. The survey aimed to reach as many Gypsy and Traveller households living in the study area as possible. It was conducted using the questionnaire presented in Appendix A.

## Gypsy and Traveller households living in Central Lancashire

* 1. A review of the characteristics of Gypsy and Traveller households living in Stafford Borough has been based on data from a total of 28 households interviewed as part of the 2022 GTAA. Of these households 26 lived in pitches and 2 households in bricks and mortar households were interviewed.
  2. The number of responses achieved to particular questions is summarised for each question. It would not be appropriate to provide a detailed analysis of the survey information, for instance by type or location of site, as this has the potential to identify individual responses. Broad summaries of the household data are presented in a manner which maintains respondent confidentiality. Where analysis refers to ‘small numbers’ this means 4 or fewer households responding.
  3. **Ethnicity** (base=28): Of household representatives interviewed 42.9% described themselves as Romany Gypsy and 57.1% as Irish Traveller.
  4. Data from the household survey provided an insight into household size, type and the age of residents living on pitches.
  5. **Household size** (base=28): 3.6% of households were single person; 10.7% two person; 28.6% three person; 28.6% four person, 25.0% five person and 3.6% six or more person households.
  6. **Household type** (base=28): 53.6% couples with child(ren), 28.6% were single parents, 3.6% were single, , 3.6% were older couples and 10.7% were other households (for instance couples with adult children).
  7. **Age profile:** The household survey identified a total of 98 Gypsies and Travellers living on sites and 7 living in bricks and mortar accommodation across Central Lancashire. Household survey data reports the following age profile (base=105) of the Travelling population in Central Lancashire: 35.2% were aged 13 or under, 6.7% aged 14-17, 30.5% aged 18-34, 15.2% aged 35-49, 9.5% aged 50-64 and 2.9% aged 65 and over.
  8. **Length of residence** (base=28): 7.1% had lived at their current place of residence for less than 5 years, 3.6% between 5 and less than 10 years, 50% between 10 and less than 20 years and 39.3% for 20 years or more.
  9. **Overcrowding:** When asked if their home was overcrowding (base=28), 85.7% said no it was not overcrowded and 14.3% said it was overcrowded. When asked whether their pitch was overcrowded (base=28), 17.9% said yes and 82.1% said no. Further analysis of overcrowding indicated this was mainly due to the number of children in households.
  10. **Regarding the need for more residential pitches** (base=28), respondents were asked if they felt there was a need for more pitches. This was to gauge local views on need and does not influence the needs assessment modelling carried out. There was a clear need for new pitches, with all site owners/managers stating there was a need for more pitches. A need for an additional 15-20 pitches across Central Lancashire was generally stated.

## Help and support needs

* 1. Respondents were asked if they had any broader help and support needs. Very few stated there were specific needs, but the need for a wet room was stated by one respondent. Some concerns were raised about electrics and dampness in sheds, including a need for larger sheds, on the former council site in Preston.

# Gypsy and Traveller pitch, Travelling Showperson plot and transit site requirements

## 

## Introduction

* 1. This section reviews the overall pitch and plot requirements of Gypsies and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople across Central Lancashire. It takes into account current supply and need, as well as future need, based on modelling of data. This chapter also considers transit pitch requirements for Gypsies and Travellers. Finally, the chapter presents planning policy recommendations.
  2. The calculation of pitch requirements is based on modelling as advocated in *Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation Assessment Guidance* (DCLG, 2007). Although now formally withdrawn, the former DCLG Guidance still provides the best-practice approach towards the assessment of pitch and plot needs (see Chapter 2 for further discussion).
  3. This approach requires an assessment of the current needs of Gypsies and Travellers and a projection of future needs. It advocates the use of a survey to supplement secondary source information and derive key supply and demand information.
  4. The GTAA has modelled current and future need and current and future supply separately for Gypsies and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople. For both groups, the modelling shows an overall ‘cultural’ need and within this, the PPTS need which refers to those households who met the PPTS planning definition.

## Gypsy and Traveller pitch requirement model overview

* 1. Pitch need is assessed for two time periods. A short-term 5-year model looks at need over the next five years (2021/22 to 2025/26). A longer-term model looks at need over the remainder of the plan period (to 2035/36) arising from children likely to need a pitch.
  2. In terms of **cultural need**, the 5-year model considers:
* the baseline number of households on all types of site (authorised, unauthorised and temporary authorised sites) as at September 2021;
* existing households planning to move in the next five years (currently on sites and also from bricks and mortar) and where they are planning to move to; and
* emerging households currently on sites and planning to emerge in the next five years and stay within the study area on a pitch; to derive a figure for total pitch need.
  1. In terms of **supply**, the model considers:
* total supply of current pitches on authorised sites; and
* vacant pitches on authorised sites.
  1. The model then reconciles total need and existing authorised supply over the next five years by summarising:
* total need for pitches; and
* total supply of authorised pitches.
  1. The longer-term model then considers the cultural need over the period to 2035/36. This is based on the age profile of children under 13 living in Gypsy and Traveller households on pitches.
  2. For each model, the likely pitch need from households meeting the PPTS definition is also calculated.

## Gypsy and Traveller pitch need analysis: Description of factors in the 5-year need model: Chorley

* 1. Table 6.1 provides a summary of the 5-year pitch need calculation for Chorley. Each component in the model is now discussed to ensure that the process is transparent and any assumptions clearly stated.

### Need

**Current households living on pitches (1a to 1e)**

* 1. These figures are derived from council data and site observation data. In summary there are 4 households living on 2 temporary authorised pitches. Assuming one household lives on each pitch, this would imply there are two households doubled up on the two pitches.

**Current households in bricks and mortar accommodation (2)**

* 1. The 2011 Census suggested there were 16 households living in bricks and mortar accommodation.

**Existing households planning to move in the next five years (3)**

* 1. This was derived from information from the 2022 household survey for respondents currently on pitches.
  2. Of existing households currently on sites, the household survey indicates that none plan to move and in the next 5 years.
  3. For households currently in bricks and mortar, based on national arc4 studies it is estimated that 5.3% of households living in bricks and mortar would prefer to live on a site which would equate less and one household. Furthermore, the council have indicated that they are not aware of any households living in bricks and mortar accommodation who need an pitch and therefore the model assumes no need from bricks and mortar accommodation.
  4. Regarding in-migration, analysis of household survey data indicates that zero households) have moved into the borough and onto a pitch in the past 5 years.
  5. The factors presented in section 3 of the model result in an overall net requirement of zero pitches from existing households planning to move in the next 5 years.

**Emerging households (4)**

* 1. This is the number of households expected to emerge in the next 5 years based on 2022 household survey information. The total number is +6. If young people aged 18 and over were living with family and have not specified that they want to form a new household, this is assumed to be through choice and the model does not assume they want to form a new household.

**Total need for pitches (5)**

* 1. This is a total of current households on authorised pitches, households on pitches planning to move in the next five years and demand from emerging households currently living on pitches. This indicates a total need for 10 pitches (rounded) (4 existing households on pitches plus a net need for 0 pitches from existing households planning to move and a need for 6 pitches from emerging households).

### Supply

**Current supply of authorised pitches (6)**

* 1. There are currently no authorised pitches in Chorley Borough.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Table 6.1 Summary of demand and supply factors: Gypsies and Travellers – Chorley Borough 2021/22 to 2025/26 | | | |
| **CULTURAL NEED** | | | **Chorley**  **Borough Total** |
| **1** | **Households living on pitches** | 1a. On council site | **0** |
|  | **(includes households doubled up on pitches)** | 1b. On private site - Authorised | **0** |
| 1c. On private site – Temporary Authorised | **4** |
| 1d. On unauthorised site | **0** |
| **1e. Total (1a to 1d)** | **4** |
| **2** | **Estimate of households living in bricks and mortar accommodation** | **2011 Census** | **16** |
| **3** | **Existing households planning to move in next 5 years or on unauthorised site** | **Currently on sites** |  |
| 3a. To another pitch/same site (no net impact) | **0.0** |
| 3b. To another site in district (no net impact) | **0.0** |
| 3c. From site to bricks and mortar (-) | **0.0** |
| 3d. To site/bricks and mortar outside district | **0.0** |
| **Currently in Bricks and Mortar** | |
| 3e. Planning to move to a site in LA (+) | 0.0 |
| 3f. Planning to move to another B&M property (no net impact) | 0.0 |
| **In-migrant households** |  |
| 3g. Allowance for in-migration (+) | 0.0 |
| **3i. TOTAL Net impact (-3c-3d+3e+3g+3h)** | **0.0** |
| **4** | **Emerging households (5 years)** | 4a. Currently on site and planning to live on current site | 6.0 |
| 4b. Current on site and planning to live on another site in the district | 0.0 |
| 4c. Currently on site and planning to live outside the district | 0.0 |
| 4d. Currently in B&M planning to move to a site in LA (+) | 0.0 |
| 4e. Currently in B&M and moving to B&M (no net impact) | 0.0 |
| 4f. Currently on Site and moving to B&M (no net impact) | 0.0 |
| **4g. TOTAL (4a+4b+4d)** | **6.0** |
| **5** | **Total Need** | **1e+3i+4g** | **10.0** |
| **SUPPLY** | | | |
| **6** | **Current supply of authorised pitches** | 6a Current supply of occupied permanently authorised pitches | 0 |
|  |  | 6b. Current authorised pitches which are vacant | 0 |
|  |  | 6c. Total current authorised supply (6a+6b) | 0 |
| **RECONCILING NEED AND SUPPLY** | | | |
| **7** | **Total need for pitches** | **5 years (from 5)** | **10** |
| **8** | **Total supply of authorised pitches** | **5 years (from 6c)** | **0** |
| **5 YEAR AUTHORISED PITCH SHORTFALL 2021/22 TO 2025/26** | | | **10** |

### 

### Reconciling supply and demand

* 1. There is a total need over the next five years (2021/22 to 2025/26) for 10 pitches in Chorley Borough (Table 6.1) compared with a supply of zero authorised pitches. The result is an overall cultural need shortfall of 10 pitches.

## Longer-term pitch requirement modelling

* 1. Longer-term pitch need modelling has been carried out using known household structure information from the household survey of households living on pitches. On the basis of the age of children in households, it is possible to determine the extent of ‘likely emergence’, which assumes that a child is likely to form a new household at the age of 18.
  2. Need in Chorley is based on one extended family living on the Hut Lane Site. Based on the demographics of who currently lives on the site, there are no residents aged under 13. Therefore there is currently no longer-term need.
  3. When calculating longer-term need, a reasonable assumption is that half of these children will form new households, bearing in mind culturally women tend to move away on marriage and men tend to stay in close proximity to their families on marriage. The model therefore assumes that 50% of children will form households when they reach 18 and that these households remain in the local authority area. This approach has been tested at inquiry and the assumption has been corroborated by several Travelling interviewees. Analysis would suggest a total cultural need for zero additional pitches over the period 2026/27-2035/36. However, as families form it is expected that future studies will show a longer-term need for pitches

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Table 6.2 Future pitch requirements based on the assumption that 50% of children will require a pitch in Chorley Borough | | | |
| Time period | No. children | No. pitches (rounded) |
| 2026/27 to 2030/31 (5 years) | 0 | 0 |
| 2031/32 to 2035/36 (5 years) | 0 | 0 |
| **Total (2026/27 to 2035/36) (10 years)** | **0** | **0** |

## Planning Policy for Traveller Site definition

* 1. Analysis of household survey data has been carried out to assess the extent to which households meet the nomadic habit of life test of the PPTS. Analysis establishes that:
* For all households (base=4), all 4 meet the PPTS nomadic habit of life test.

## Overall plan period pitch need

* 1. Table 6.3 summarises the overall need for pitches across Chorley Borough over the plan period 2021/22 to 2035/36. It presents the overall cultural need based on households identifying as Gypsy and Traveller and a PPTS need which is a subset of the cultural need and represents households who meet the PPTS nomadic habit of life definition. Need has been assessed over a short-term 2021/22 to 2025/26 and longer-term 2026/27 to 2035/36 period. The overall need is 10 pitches of which 10 is PPTS need. The main driver of need in the first five years is emerging households currently living on the Hut Lane site.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Table 6.3 Plan period Gypsy and Traveller pitch need 2021/22 to 2035/36: Chorley Borough | | |
|  | **Cultural need** | **Of which: PPTS need** |
| **5yr Authorised Pitch Shortfall (2021/22 to 2025/26) (A)** | **10** | **10** |
| **Longer-term need** |  |  |
| *Over period 2026/7 to 2030/31 (B)* | 0 | 0 |
| *Over period 2031/32 to 2035/36(C)* | 0 | 0 |
| *Longer-term need TOTAL to 2037/38 (10 years) D=(B+C)* | **0** | **0** |
| **NET SHORTFALL 2021/22 to 2035/36 (A+D)** (15 years) | **10** | **10** |
| **Annual net shortfall** | **0.7** | **0.7** |

## Potential capacity for Gypsy and Traveller pitches on existing sites

### Turnover on sites

* 1. Turnover relates to the number of pitches that are expected to become available for occupancy. Analysis only includes expected turnover on public sites as this is referenced in (former) CLG guidance and more accurate data on changes in pitch occupancy is likely to be available. Although there is likely to be turnover on private sites, the ability of households to move onto private sites may be more restrictive (for instance the site may be restricted to a particular family) and less likely to be recorded. No turnover is assumed as there are no public sites in Chorley Borough

### Potential for additional pitches on existing sites

* 1. Discussions with private site owners indicated there was no potential to expand or intensify existing sites.

## Potential new sites

* 1. A new site has been identified in the Local Plan and set out in Policy HS11. This states ‘within the mixed use allocation HS1.5/EP1.6 Cowling Farm, provision will be made for a minimum of 5 permanent Gypsy and Traveller pitches on 0.4ha of land as part of a 158 unit housing development. The local plan was adopted in 2015 and this site remains undeveloped.

## Summary of need

* 1. Government policy through the NPPF encourages authorities to meet the needs of all Gypsies and Travellers including those who no longer travel and through the PPTS for those who have a nomadic habit of life. Overall, there is a need across Chorley to plan for 10 pitches over the period 2021/22 to 2035/36. There is a need for 10 additional pitches in the first five years of the Local Plan and currently zero in the period 2026/27 to 2035/46.
  2. Of the 10 pitch need, 10 are from households who meet the PPTS nomadic habit of life definition.

## Tenure preferences

* 1. Respondents living on the Temporary Authorised site and those planning to form new households were asked to consider their preferred tenure of pitches (social rented or private). All stated a preference for pitches on private sites.

## Transit requirements

* 1. There are a number of ways to deliver temporary places where Travellers can stop whilst passing through a local authority area. These include transit sites, stop over areas and negotiated stopping. A good indicator of transit need is unauthorised encampment activity.
  2. For Chorley, over the period January 2018 to June 2022, there were 46 incidences of unauthorised encampment activity (Table 6.4). Over this period:
* The number of caravans on unauthorised encampments has ranged between 1 and 9.
* The median number of caravans on an encampment has been 4 and mode (most frequently reported) has been 3 caravans.
* The number of unauthorised encampments has been increasing: during 2021 there were 15 unauthorised encampments and during 2022 there have been 14 unauthorised encampments by June 2022.
  1. Recommendations for transit provision across Central Lancashire are presented at the end of this chapter.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Table 6.4 Unauthorised encampment activity in Chorley Borough | | |
| Number of encampments recorded  January 2018 to July 2022 | 46 encampments (2018=5; 2019=5; 2020=7; 2021=15, 2022 (to June) = 14 | |
| Number of caravans | Median | 4 |
| Mode | 3 |
| Range | 1. to 9 |

Source: Council data

## Showperson plot requirements

* 1. No Travelling Showpersons needs have been identified.

## Gypsy and Traveller pitch need analysis: Description of factors in the 5-year need model: Preston

* 1. Table 6.5 provides a summary of the 5-year pitch need calculation for Preston. Each component in the model is now discussed to ensure that the process is transparent and any assumptions clearly stated.

### Need

**Current households living on pitches (1a to 1e)**

* 1. These figures are derived from council data and site observation data. In summary there are 15 households living on 14 council pitches, with 1 household doubling up. There is one council pitch vacant at the time of the GTAA fieldwork. There are also 8 households living on 5 unauthorised pitches at a site in Catforth.

**Current households in bricks and mortar accommodation (2)**

* 1. The 2011 Census suggested there were 35 households living in bricks and mortar accommodation.

**Existing households planning to move in the next five years (3)**

* 1. This was derived from information from the 2022 household survey for respondents currently on pitches.
  2. Of existing households currently on sites, the household survey indicates that none plan to move and in the next 5 years.
  3. For households currently in bricks and mortar, based on national arc4 studies it is estimated that 5.3% of households living in bricks and mortar would prefer to live on a site which would equate to 1.8 households. Two households in bricks and mortar accommodation were interviewed and needed a pitch, so the model uses this figure as an indication of need from bricks and mortar households.
  4. Regarding in-migration, analysis of household survey data indicates that zero households) have moved into Preston and onto a pitch in the past 5 years.
  5. The factors presented in section 3 of the model result in an overall net requirement of +2 pitches from existing households planning to move in the next 5 years.

**Emerging households (4)**

* 1. This is the number of households expected to emerge in the next 5 years based on 2022 household survey information. The total number is +14. If young people aged 18 and over were living with family and have not specified that they want to form a new household, this is assumed to be through choice and the model does not assume they want to form a new household.

**Total need for pitches (5)**

* 1. This is a total of current households on authorised pitches, households on pitches planning to move in the next five years and demand from emerging households currently living on pitches. This indicates a total need for 39 pitches (rounded) (23 existing households on pitches plus a net need for 2 pitches from existing households planning to move and a need for 14 pitches from emerging households).

### Supply

**Current supply of authorised pitches (6)**

* 1. There are 15 authorised pitches in Preston of which 14 are occupied and 1 vacant at the time of the GTAA site observation and fieldwork.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Table 6.5 Summary of demand and supply factors: Gypsies and Travellers – City of Preston 2021/22 to 2025/26 | | | |
| **CULTURAL NEED** | | | **Preston Total** |
| **1** | **Households living on pitches** | 1a. On council site | **15** |
|  | **(includes households doubled up on pitches)** | 1b. On private site - Authorised | **0** |
| 1c. On private site – Temporary Authorised | **0** |
| 1d. On unauthorised site | **8** |
| **1e. Total (1a to 1d)** | **23** |
| **2** | **Estimate of households living in bricks and mortar accommodation** | **2011 Census** | **35** |
| **3** | **Existing households planning to move in next 5 years or on unauthorised site** | **Currently on sites** |  |
| 3a. To another pitch/same site (no net impact) | **0.0** |
| 3b. To another site in district (no net impact) | **0.0** |
| 3c. From site to bricks and mortar (-) | **0.0** |
| 3d. To site/bricks and mortar outside district | **0.0** |
| **Currently in Bricks and Mortar** | |
| 3e. Planning to move to a site in LA (+) | 2.0 |
| 3f. Planning to move to another B&M property (no net impact) | 0.0 |
| **In-migrant households** |  |
| 3g. Allowance for in-migration (+) | 0.0 |
| **3i. TOTAL Net impact (-3c-3d+3e+3g+3h)** | **2.0** |
| **4** | **Emerging households (5 years)** | 4a. Currently on site and planning to live on current site | 12.0 |
| 4b. Current on site and planning to live on another site in the district | 2.0 |
| 4c. Currently on site and planning to live outside the district | 0.0 |
| 4d. Currently in B&M planning to move to a site in LA (+) | 0.0 |
| 4e. Currently in B&M and moving to B&M (no net impact) | 0.0 |
| 4f. Currently on Site and moving to B&M (no net impact) | 0.0 |
| **4g. TOTAL (4a+4b+4d)** | **14.0** |
| **5** | **Total Need** | **1e+3i+4g** | **39.0** |
| **SUPPLY** | | | |
| **6** | **Current supply of authorised pitches** | 6a Current supply of occupied permanently authorised pitches | 14 |
|  |  | 6b. Current authorised pitches which are vacant | 1 |
|  |  | 6c. Total current authorised supply (6a+6b) | 15 |
| **RECONCILING NEED AND SUPPLY** | | | |
| **7** | **Total need for pitches** | **5 years (from 5)** | **39** |
| **8** | **Total supply of authorised pitches** | **5 years (from 6c)** | **15** |
| **5 YEAR AUTHORISED PITCH SHORTFALL 2021/22 TO 2025/26** | | | **24** |

### Reconciling supply and demand

* 1. There is a total need over the next five years (2021/22 to 2025/26) for 39 pitches in Preston (Table 6.6) compared with a supply of 15 authorised pitches. The result is an overall cultural need shortfall of 24 pitches.

## Longer-term pitch requirement modelling

* 1. Longer-term pitch need modelling has been carried out using known household structure information from the household survey of households living on pitches and from bricks and mortar interviews. On the basis of the age of children in households, it is possible to determine the extent of ‘likely emergence’, which assumes that a child is likely to form a new household at the age of 18.
  2. When calculating longer-term need, a reasonable assumption is that half of these children will form new households, bearing in mind culturally women tend to move away on marriage and men tend to stay in close proximity to their families on marriage. The model therefore assumes that 50% of children will form households when they reach 18 and that these households remain in the local authority area. This approach has been tested at inquiry and the assumption has been corroborated by several Travelling interviewees. Analysis (Table 6.6) would suggest a total cultural need for 10 additional pitches over the period 2026/27-2035/36. However, as families form it is expected that future studies will show a longer-term need for pitches.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Table 6.6 Future pitch requirements based on the assumption that 50% of children will require a pitch in the City of Preston | | | |
| Time period | No. children | No. pitches (rounded) |
| 2026/27 to 2030/31 (5 years) | 6 | 3 |
| 2031/32 to 2035/36 (5 years) | 13 | 7 |
| **Total (2026/27 to 2035/36) (10 years)** | **19** | **10** |

## Planning Policy for Traveller Site definition

* 1. Analysis of household survey data has been carried out to assess the extent to which households meet the nomadic habit of life test of the PPTS. Analysis establishes that:
* For all households (base=24), 17 meet the nomadic habit of life test, 2 do not meet the test and 5 are except from the test because they are unable to travel (for instance due to old age or have childcare responsibilities). This results in 89.5% of households meet the PPTS definition.
* For younger people expected to form households, all meet the PPTS nomadic habit of life test and it is also assumed that longer-term need will be from households who meet the test.

## Overall plan period pitch need

* 1. Table 6.7 summarises the overall need for pitches across Preston over the plan period 2021/22 to 2035/36. It presents the overall cultural need based on households identifying as Gypsy and Traveller and a PPTS need which is a subset of the cultural need and represents households who meet the PPTS nomadic habit of life definition. Need has been assessed over a short-term 2021/22 to 2025/26 and longer-term 2026/27 to 2035/36 period. The overall need is 34 pitches of which 11 is PPTS need. The main driver of need in the first five years is new household formation and movement from bricks and mortar properties to a pitch.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Table 6.7 Plan period Gypsy and Traveller pitch need 2021/22 to 2035/36: City of Preston | | |
|  | **Cultural need** | **Of which: PPTS need** |
| **5yr Authorised Pitch Shortfall (2021/22 to 2025/26) (A)** | **24** | **22** |
| **Longer-term need** |  |  |
| *Over period 2026/7 to 2030/31 (B)* | 3 | 3 |
| *Over period 2031/32 to 2035/36(C)* | 7 | 7 |
| *Longer-term need TOTAL to 2037/38 (10 years) D=(B+C)* | **10** | **10** |
| **NET SHORTFALL 2021/22 to 2035/36 (A+D)** (15 years) | **34** | **31** |
| **Annual net shortfall** | **2.3** | **2.1** |

## Potential capacity for Gypsy and Traveller pitches on existing sites

### Turnover on sites

* 1. Turnover relates to the number of pitches that are expected to become available for occupancy. Analysis only includes expected turnover on public sites as this is referenced in (former) CLG guidance and more accurate data on changes in pitch occupancy is likely to be available. Although there is likely to be turnover on private sites, the ability of households to move onto private sites may be more restrictive (for instance the site may be restricted to a particular family) and less likely to be recorded. The local authority site in Preston as recently been transferred to a Co-operative and therefore out of public ownership. .
  2. No turnover is assumed as there are no public sites in Preston.

### Potential for additional pitches on existing sites

* 1. Discussions with private site owners indicated there was no potential to expand or intensify existing sites.

## Potential new sites

* 1. Potential land was identified from a resident on one of the sites with a potential for up to 20 pitches. Further information on this has been forwarded to the council for further discussion and consideration.

## Summary of need

* 1. Government policy through the NPPF encourages authorities to meet the needs of all Gypsies and Travellers including those who no longer travel and through the PPTS for those who have a nomadic habit of life. Overall, across Preston there is need to plan for 34 pitches over the period 2021/22 to 2035/36. There is a need for 24 additional pitches in the first five years of the Local Plan and 10 in the period 2026/27 to 2035/46.
  2. Of the 34 pitch need, 31 are from households who meet the PPTS nomadic habit of life definition and 3 are from those who do meet the definition. In the first 5 years 2021/22 to 2025/26, of the 24 need, 22 is from households who meet the PPTS definition and 2 do not.

## Tenure preferences

* 1. Respondents living in bricks and mortar dwellings and households expecting to form were asked about the tenure preferences for pitches. Out of a total of 16 responses (14 emerging households and 2 living in bricks and mortar), 81.3% stated a preference for a council pitch and 18.7% a private pitch. Note that in Preston the former council site has now become a co-operative.

## Transit requirements

* 1. There are a number of ways to deliver temporary places where Travellers can stop whilst passing through a local authority area. These include transit sites, stop over areas and negotiated stopping. A good indicator of transit need is unauthorised encampment activity.
  2. For Preston, over the period January 2018 to June 2022, there were 14 incidences of unauthorised encampment activity (Table 6.8). However, no further data on number of caravans is available.
  3. Recommendations for transit provision across Central Lancashire are presented at the end of this chapter.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Table 6.8 Unauthorised encampment activity in the City of Preston | | |
| Number of encampments recorded  January 2018 to July 2022 | 14 encampments | |
| Number of caravans | Median | Not known |
| Mode | Not known |
| Range | Not known |

Source: Council data

## Gypsy and Traveller pitch need analysis: Description of factors in the 5-year need model: South Ribble

* 1. Table 6.9 provides a summary of the 5-year pitch need calculation for South Ribble. Each component in the model is now discussed to ensure that the process is transparent and any assumptions clearly stated.

### Need

**Current households living on pitches (1a to 1e)**

* 1. These figures are derived from council data and site observation data. There are no households living on pitches in South Ribble.

**Current households in bricks and mortar accommodation (2)**

* 1. The 2011 Census suggested there were 7 households living in bricks and mortar accommodation.

**Existing households planning to move in the next five years (3)**

* 1. As there are no households living on sites and very few bricks and mortar households, the model identifies no need from existing households planning to move in the next 5 years. For households currently in bricks and mortar, based on national arc4 studies it is estimated that 5.3% of households living in bricks and mortar would prefer to live on a site which would equate less and one household. Furthermore, the council have indicated that they are not aware of any households living in bricks and mortar accommodation who need an pitch and therefore the model assumes no need from bricks and mortar accommodation.
  2. The factors presented in section 3 of the model result in an overall net requirement of +0.00 pitches from existing households planning to move in the next 5 years

**Emerging households (4)**

* 1. As there are no households living on sites and very few bricks and mortar households, the model identifies no need from emerging households in the next 5 years.

**Total need for pitches (5)**

* 1. This is zero

### Supply

**Current supply of authorised pitches (6)**

* 1. There are currently no authorised pitches in South Ribble borough.

### Reconciling supply and demand

* 1. There is a total need over the next five years (2021/22 to 2025/26) for zero pitches in South Ribble Borough (Table 6.8) compared with a supply of zero authorised pitches. The result is an overall cultural need shortfall of zero pitches.

## Longer-term pitch requirement modelling

* 1. Longer-term pitch need modelling has not been carried out as no households have been identified in South Ribble.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Table 6.9 Summary of demand and supply factors: Gypsies and Travellers – South Ribble Borough 2021/22 to 2025/26 | | | |
| **CULTURAL NEED** | | | **South Ribble**  **Borough Total** |
| **1** | **Households living on pitches** | 1a. On council site | **0** |
|  | **(includes households doubled up on pitches)** | 1b. On private site - Authorised | **0** |
| 1c. On private site – Temporary Authorised | **0** |
| 1d. On unauthorised site | **0** |
| **1e. Total (1a to 1d)** | **0** |
| **2** | **Estimate of households living in bricks and mortar accommodation** | **2011 Census** | **7** |
| **3** | **Existing households planning to move in next 5 years or on unauthorised site** | **Currently on sites** |  |
| 3a. To another pitch/same site (no net impact) | **0.0** |
| 3b. To another site in district (no net impact) | **0.0** |
| 3c. From site to bricks and mortar (-) | **0.0** |
| 3d. To site/bricks and mortar outside district | **0.0** |
| **Currently in Bricks and Mortar** | |
| 3e. Planning to move to a site in LA (+) | 0.0 |
| 3f. Planning to move to another B&M property (no net impact) | 0.0 |
| **In-migrant households** |  |
| 3g. Allowance for in-migration (+) | 0.0 |
| **3i. TOTAL Net impact (-3c-3d+3e+3g+3h)** | **0.0** |
| **4** | **Emerging households (5 years)** | 4a. Currently on site and planning to live on current site | 0.0 |
| 4b. Current on site and planning to live on another site in the district | 0.0 |
| 4c. Currently on site and planning to live outside the district | 0.0 |
| 4d. Currently in B&M planning to move to a site in LA (+) | 0.0 |
| 4e. Currently in B&M and moving to B&M (no net impact) | 0.0 |
| 4f. Currently on Site and moving to B&M (no net impact) | 0.0 |
| **4g. TOTAL (4a+4b+4d)** | **0.0** |
| **5** | **Total Need** | **1e+3i+4g** | **0.0** |
| **SUPPLY** | | | |
| **6** | **Current supply of authorised pitches** | 6a Current supply of occupied permanently authorised pitches | 0 |
|  |  | 6b. Current authorised pitches which are vacant | 0 |
|  |  | 6c. Total current authorised supply (6a+6b) | 0 |
| **RECONCILING NEED AND SUPPLY** | | | |
| **7** | **Total need for pitches** | **5 years (from 5)** | **0** |
| **8** | **Total supply of authorised pitches** | **5 years (from 6c)** | **0** |
| **5 YEAR AUTHORISED PITCH SHORTFALL 2021/22 TO 2025/26** | | | **0** |

## Overall plan period pitch need

* 1. Table 6.10 summarises the overall need for pitches across South Ribble Borough over the plan period 2021/22 to 2035/36.
  2. The overall need is zero pitches of which zero is PPTS need.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Table 6.10 Plan period Gypsy and Traveller pitch need 2021/22 to 2035/36: South Ribble Borough | | |
|  | **Cultural need** | **Of which: PPTS need** |
| **5yr Authorised Pitch Shortfall (2021/22 to 2025/26) (A)** | **0** | **0** |
| **Longer-term need** |  |  |
| *Over period 2026/7 to 2030/31 (B)* | 0 | 0 |
| *Over period 2031/32 to 2035/36(C)* | 0 | 0 |
| *Longer-term need TOTAL to 2037/38 (10 years) D=(B+C)* | **0** | **0** |
| **NET SHORTFALL 2021/22 to 2035/36 (A+D)** (15 years) | **0** | **0** |
| **Annual net shortfall** | **0** | **0** |

## Summary of need

* 1. Government policy through the NPPF encourages authorities to meet the needs of all Gypsies and Travellers including those who no longer travel and through the PPTS for those who have a nomadic habit of life. Overall, there is a need across South Ribble to plan for zero pitches over the period 2021/22 to 2035/36.

## Transit need

* 1. There are a number of ways to deliver temporary places where Travellers can stop whilst passing through a local authority area. These include transit sites, stop over areas and negotiated stopping. A good indicator of transit need is unauthorised encampment activity.
  2. For South Ribble, over the period January 2018 to October 2022, there were 55 incidences of unauthorised encampment activity (Table 6.11). Over this period:
* The number of caravans on unauthorised encampments has ranged between 1 and 15.
* The median number of caravans on an encampment has been 3 and mode (most frequently reported) has been 3 caravans.
* The number of unauthorised encampments has been increasing over the past 3 years.
  1. Recommendations for transit provision across Central Lancashire are presented at the end of this chapter.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Table 6.11 Unauthorised encampment activity in South Ribble | | |
| Number of encampments recorded  January 2018 to October 2022 | 55 encampments (2018=8; 2019=8; 2020=6; 2021=13, 2022 (to October) = 20 | |
| Number of caravans | Median | 3 |
| Mode | 3 |
| Range | 1 to 15 |

Source: Council data

### Conclusions on transit need across Central Lancashire and policy response

* 1. Households interviewed across Central Lancashire were asked their views on transit provision. The consensus from households on the former council site in Preston was that transit provision was needed. This view was echoed by several other respondents to the survey. Management of transit provision by a co-operative or council was preferred.
  2. When considering transit need, the council needs to mindful of the Police, Crime, Courts and Sentencing Act (Part 4). This will make using land for stopping without permission a criminal offence rather than a civil offence. The Bill gives police increased powers to act and introduces significant penalties (fines up to £2,500, impounding vehicles and prison sentences).
  3. Although the unauthorised encampment activity has lessened in recent years and only a minority of respondents indicating a need for transit provision, anticipated new legislation means the council needs to consider appropriate responses. Given the potential scale of encampments based on historical data, the maximum number of caravans was 25. It is recommended that the council introduced negotiated stopping arrangements to support any future transit need.
  4. Negotiated stopping involves councils making an agreement with Gypsies and Travellers on unauthorised encampments. The terms of the agreement can vary but usually include the provision of portaloos, waste disposal, water; the length of the agreement can vary but tends to be around 28 days; and users agree to comply with rules for behaviour and use of the site. Developing a negotiated stopping policy is a recommended policy response for Central Lancashire.

## Showperson plot requirements

* 1. No Travelling Showpersons needs have been identified.

# Conclusion and response

* 1. This concluding chapter provides a brief summary of key findings and recommendations.

### Current accommodation

* 1. Culturally appropriate provision across Central Lancashire includes:

Preston – one former council site now leased to the community to manage (15 pitches); and one unauthorised site (8 pitches)

Chorley – one temporary authorised site (2 pitches)

* 1. There are no sites in South Ribble and no Travelling Showperson’s yards in Central Lancashire. Private sites tend to be occupied by extended family groups. This means the ability of non-family members to move onto private sites is limited.

### Future residential need

* 1. Government policy through the NPPF encourages authorities to meet the needs of all Gypsies and Travellers including those who no longer travel and through the PPTS for those who have a nomadic habit of life.
  2. The overall needs evidenced in this report indicate a total shortfall of 44 pitches (of which 41 is PPTS need and 3 is non-PPTS need) over the period 2021/22 to 2035/36).
  3. Discussions with private site owners identified a series of potential additional sites across Central Lancashire. For confidentiality and commercial sensitivity reasons the specific details are withheld in this report but councils has been made aware of these sites. The capacity is up to 20 pitches.
  4. There is potential to regularise 8 unauthorised and 2 temporary authorised pitches across Central Lancashire
  5. There is a 0.4ha land allocation at Cowling Farm in Chorley Borough for up to 5 pitches.
  6. Therefore, there is potential for up to 35 pitches to help meet need over the Plan Period. This would be sufficient to address the 5-year need for both PPTS and non-PPTS need.
  7. In the longer-term, there would remain an overall cultural shortfall of 6 pitches but all PPTS need would be met.
  8. It is recommended that the council engage with private site owners to consider new site applications to bring forward additional pitches and consider regularising unauthorised and temporary authorised sites.
  9. It is recommended that the Local Plan sets out policies to inform future planning applications for private sites. The following suggestions, based on a range of policies from other local authorities could be taken into account when drafting the council’s policies on new residential pitch provision:
* The site is in a sustainable location in terms of accessibility to services and facilities, including health and schools.
* The site is suitable in terms of vehicular access to the highway, parking, turning, road safety and servicing arrangements and has access to essential services such as water supply, sewerage, drainage and waste disposal
* Appropriate screening and landscaping is included within the proposal to protect local amenity and the environment.
* The site is not affected by environmental hazards that may affect the residents’ health or welfare or be located in an area of high risk of flooding, including functional floodplains
* They promote peaceful and integrated co-existence between the site and the local community.
* They enable mixed business and residential accommodation (providing for the live-work lifestyle of Travellers).
* They avoid undue pressure on local infrastructure and services.
* The proposal is well related to the size and location of the site and respects the scale of the nearest settled community.
* Proposals make adequate provision for on-site facilities that meet best practice for modern Traveller site requirements, including play areas, storage, provision for recycling and waste management.

### Transit site/stop over need

* 1. The council should consider the options for transit provision, particularly in the light of the Police, Crime, Courts and Sentencing Act (Part 4). It is recommend the councils develop an negotiated stopping policy to support Travellers passing through Central Lancashire.

## 

## Future updating

* 1. The GTAA should be updated on a 5-yearly basis to ensure that the level of pitch and plot provision remains appropriate for the Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople population across Central Lancashire.

# Appendix A: Gypsy and Traveller Fieldwork Questionnaire

****





# Appendix B: Glossary of terms

**Caravans**: Mobile living vehicles used by Gypsies and Travellers; also referred to as trailers.

**CJ&POA**: Criminal Justice and Public Order Act 1994; includes powers for local authorities and police to act against unauthorised encampments.

**CRE**: Commission for Racial Equality.

**DCLG**: Department for Communities and Local Government; created in May 2006 and responsible for the remit on Gypsies and Travellers during the period the PPTS was written. Since then it has been renamed in 2018 as MHCLG (Ministry for Housing, Communities and Local Government) and in 2021 it was renamed again as DLUHC (Department for Levelling Up, Housing and Communities).

**Gypsies and Travellers**: Defined by DCLG *Planning policy for traveller sites* (August 2015) as *“Persons of nomadic habit of life whatever their race or origin, including such persons who on grounds only of their own or their family’s or dependants’ educational or health needs or old age have ceased to travel temporarily, but excluding members of an organised group of travelling showpeople or circus people travelling together as such”*. The planning policy goes on to state that, *“In determining whether persons are “gypsies and travellers” for the purposes of this planning policy, consideration should be given to the following issues amongst other relevant matters: a) whether they previously led a nomadic habit of life b) the reasons for ceasing their nomadic habit of life c) whether there is an intention of living a nomadic habit of life in the future, and if so, how soon and in what circumstances”*.

**Irish Traveller**: Member of one of the main groups of Gypsies and Travellers in England. Irish Travellers have a distinct indigenous origin in Ireland and have been in England since the mid nineteenth century. They have been recognised as an ethnic group since August 2000 in England and Wales (O'Leary v Allied Domecq).

**Mobile home**: Legally a ‘caravan’ but not usually capable of being moved by towing.

**Pitch**: Area of land on a Gypsy/Traveller site occupied by one resident family; sometimes referred to as a plot, especially when referring to Travelling Showpeople. DCLG *Planning policy for traveller sites* (August 2015) states that *“For the purposes of this planning policy, “pitch” means a pitch on a “gypsy and traveller” site and “plot” means a pitch on a “travelling showpeople” site (often called a “yard”). This terminology differentiates between residential pitches for “gypsies and travellers” and mixed-use plots for “travelling showpeople”, which may / will need to incorporate space or to be split to allow for the storage of equipment”*.

**Plot**: see pitch

**PPTS**: Planning Policy for Traveller Sites (DCLG, 2012 and 2015 editions)

**Roadside**: Term used here to indicate families on unauthorised encampments, whether literally on the roadside or on other locations such as fields, car parks or other open spaces.

**Romany**: Member of one of the main groups of Gypsies and Travellers in England. Romany Gypsies trace their ethnic origin back to migrations, probably from India, taking place at intervals since before 1500. Gypsies have been a recognised ethnic group for the purposes of British race relations legislation since 1988 (CRE V Dutton).

**Sheds**: On most residential Gypsy/Traveller sites 'shed' refers to a small basic building with plumbing amenities (bath/shower, WC, sink), which are provided at the rate of one per pitch/plot. Some contain a cooker and basic kitchen facilities.

**Showpeople**: Defined by DCLG *Planning policy for traveller sites* (August 2015) as *“Members of a group organised for the purposes of holding fairs, circuses or shows (whether or not travelling together as such). This includes such persons who on the grounds of their own or their family’s or dependants’ more localised pattern of trading, educational or health needs or old age have ceased to travel temporarily, but excludes Gypsies and Travellers as defined above”.*

**Site**: An area of land laid out and used for Gypsy/Traveller caravans; often though not always comprising slabs and amenity blocks or ‘sheds’. An authorised site will have planning permission. An unauthorised development lacks planning permission.

**Slab:** An area of concrete or tarmac on sites allocated to a household for the parking of trailers (caravans)

**Stopping places/stopover sites**: A term used to denote an unauthorised temporary camping area tolerated by local authorities, used by Gypsies and Travellers for short-term encampments, and sometimes with the provision of temporary toilet facilities, water supplies and refuse collection services.

**Tolerated site**: An unauthorised encampment/site where a local authority has decided not to take enforcement action to seek its removal.

**Trailers**: Term used for mobile living vehicles used by Gypsies and Travellers; also referred to as caravans.

**Transit site**: A site intended for short-term use while in transit. The site is usually permanent and authorised, but there is a limit on the length of time residents can stay.

**Unauthorised development**: Establishment of Gypsy and Traveller sites without planning permission, usually on land owned by those establishing the site. Unauthorised development may involve ground works for roadways and hard standings. People parking caravans on their own land without planning permission are not Unauthorised Encampments in that they cannot trespass on their own land – they are therefore Unauthorised Developments and enforcement is always dealt with by local planning authorities enforcing planning legislation.

**Unauthorised encampment**: Land where Gypsies or Travellers reside in vehicles or tents without permission. Unauthorised encampments can occur in a variety of locations (roadside, car parks, parks, fields, etc.) and constitute trespass. The 1994 Criminal Justice and Public Order Act made it a criminal offence to camp on land without the owner’s consent. Unauthorised encampments fall into two main categories: those on land owned by local authorities and those on privately owned land. It is up to the land owner to take enforcement action in conjunction with the police.

**Wagons**: This is the preferred term for the vehicles used for accommodation by Showpeople.

**Yards**: Showpeople travel in connection with their work and therefore live, almost universally, in wagons. During the winter months these are parked up in what was traditionally known as ‘winter quarters’. These ‘yards’ are now often occupied all year around by some family members.

# Appendix C: Traveller count data by local authority area

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Table C.1 Bi-annual Traveller caravan count figures January 2016 to January 2022 Chorley | | | | | | | |
| Date | Authorised sites with planning permission | | | | Unauthorised sites without planning permission | | Total |
| Social Rented | Total Private (Temporary) | Total Private (Permanent | Total Unauthorised | |  | |
| Jan 2016 | **0** | **3** | 0 | 0 | | 3 | |
| Jul 2016 | **0** | **5** | 0 | 6 | | 11 | |
| Jan 2017 | **0** | **5** | 0 | 0 | | 5 | |
| Jul 2017 | **0** | **2** | 0 | 0 | | 2 | |
| Jan 2018 | **0** | **5** | 0 | 0 | | 5 | |
| Jul 2018 | **0** | **2** | 0 | 0 | | 2 | |
| Jan 2019 | **0** | **5** | 0 | 0 | | 5 | |
| July 2019 | **0** | **0** | 0 | 7 | | 7 | |
| Jan 2020 | **0** | **0** | 0 | 7 | | 7 | |
| Jul 2021 | **0** | **0** | 0 | 7 | | 7 | |
| Jan 2022 | **0** | **0** | 0 | 7 | | 7 | |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Table C.2 Bi-annual Traveller caravan count figures January 2016 to January 2022: Preston | | | | | | | |
| Date | Authorised sites with planning permission | | | | Unauthorised sites without planning permission | | Total |
| Social Rented | Total Private (Temporary) | Total Private (Permanent | Total Unauthorised | |  | |
| Jan 2016 | **22** | **8** | 0 | **0** | | 30 | |
| Jul 2016 | **23** | **0** | 0 | **12** | | 35 | |
| Jan 2017 | **22** | **0** | 0 | **0** | | 22 | |
| Jul 2017 | **23** | **0** | 0 | **0** | | 23 | |
| Jan 2018 | **22** | **0** | 0 | **0** | | 22 | |
| Jul 2018 | **23** | **0** | 0 | **0** | | 23 | |
| Jan 2019 | **22** | **0** | 0 | **0** | | 22 | |
| July 2019 | **21** | **0** | 0 | **6** | | 27 | |
| Jan 2020 | **21** | **0** | 0 | **6** | | 27 | |
| Jul 2021 | **18** | **0** | 0 | **0** | | 18 | |
| Jan 2022 | **17** | **0** | 0 | **6** | | 23 | |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Table C.3 Bi-annual Traveller caravan count figures January 2016 to January 2022: South Ribble | | | | | | | |
| Date | Authorised sites with planning permission | | | | Unauthorised sites without planning permission | | Total |
| Social Rented | Total Private (Temporary) | Total Private (Permanent | Total Unauthorised | |  | |
| Jan 2016 | **0** | **0** | 0 | **0** | | 0 | |
| Jul 2016 | **0** | **0** | 0 | **0** | | 0 | |
| Jan 2017 | **0** | **0** | 0 | **0** | | 0 | |
| Jul 2017 | **0** | **0** | 0 | **0** | | 0 | |
| Jan 2018 | **0** | **0** | 0 | **1** | | 1 | |
| Jul 2018 | **0** | **0** | 0 | **0** | | 0 | |
| Jan 2019 | **0** | **0** | 0 | **0** | | 0 | |
| July 2019 | **0** | **0** | 0 | **0** | | 0 | |
| Jan 2020 | **0** | **0** | 0 | **0** | | 0 | |
| Jul 2021 | **0** | **0** | 0 | **0** | | 0 | |
| Jan 2022 | **0** | **0** | 0 | **0** | | 0 | |

Source: MHCLG/DLUHC Traveller Caravan Count, Live Table 1

Counts not taken in July 2020 or during 2021 due to the COVID pandemic