

Figure F.2-127. V/C Ratio Comparison, Local Plan Sustainable Mitigation vs Reference, 2041 AM Peak, Chorley




Figure F.2-128. V/C Ratio Comparison, Local Plan Sustainable Mitigation vs Reference, 2041 Interpeak, Chorley




Figure F.2-130. V/C Ratio Comparison, Local Plan Sustainable Mitigation vs Reference, 2041 AM Peak, Chorley S




Figure F.2-131. V/C Ratio Comparison, Local Plan Sustainable Mitigation vs Reference, 2041 Interpeak, Chorley S




Figure F.2-132. V/C Ratio Comparison, Local Plan Sustainable Mitigation vs Reference, 2041 PM Peak, Chorley S




Figure F.2-133. V/C Ratio Comparison, Local Plan Sustainable Mitigation vs Reference, 2041 AM Peak, Chorley W




Figure F.2-134. V/C Ratio Comparison, Local Plan Sustainable Mitigation vs Reference, 2041 Interpeak, Chorley W




Figure F.2-135. V/C Ratio Comparison, Local Plan Sustainable Mitigation vs Reference, 2041 PM Peak, Chorley W

F.2.2 Preston

F.2.2.1 Scenario 3a V/C




Figure F.2-136.F.2-137. V/C Ratio, Local Plan Sustainable Mitigation, 2041 AM Peak, Preston Broughton




Figure F.2-140. V/C Ratio, Local Plan Sustainable Mitigation, 2041 AM Peak, Preston CC




Figure F.2-141. V/C Ratio, Local Plan Sustainable Mitigation, 2041 Interpeak, Preston CC




Figure F.2-142. V/C Ratio, Local Plan Sustainable Mitigation, 2041 PM Peak, Preston CC




Figure F.2-143. V/C Ratio, Local Plan Sustainable Mitigation, 2041 AM Peak, Preston E




Figure F.2-144. V/C Ratio, Local Plan Sustainable Mitigation, 2041 Interpeak, Preston E




Figure F.2-145. V/C Ratio, Local Plan Sustainable Mitigation, 2041 PM Peak, Preston E




Figure F.2-146. V/C Ratio, Local Plan Sustainable Mitigation, 2041 AM Peak, Preston N




Figure F.2-147. V/C Ratio, Local Plan Sustainable Mitigation, 2041 Interpeak, Preston N




Figure F.2-148. V/C Ratio, Local Plan Sustainable Mitigation, 2041 PM Peak, Preston N




Figure F.2-149. V/C Ratio, Local Plan Sustainable Mitigation, 2041 AM Peak, Preston NE




Figure F.2-150. V/C Ratio, Local Plan Sustainable Mitigation, 2041 Interpeak, Preston NE




Figure F.2-151. V/C Ratio, Local Plan Sustainable Mitigation, 2041 PM Peak, Preston NE




Figure F.2-152. V/C Ratio, Local Plan Sustainable Mitigation, 2041 AM Peak, Preston NW




Figure F.2-153. V/C Ratio, Local Plan Sustainable Mitigation, 2041 Interpeak, Preston NW




Figure F.2-154. V/C Ratio, Local Plan Sustainable Mitigation, 2041 PM Peak, Preston NW




Figure F.2-155. V/C Ratio, Local Plan Sustainable Mitigation, 2041 AM Peak, Preston W




Figure F.2-156. V/C Ratio, Local Plan Sustainable Mitigation, 2041 Interpeak, Preston W




Figure F.2-157. V/C Ratio, Local Plan Sustainable Mitigation, 2041 PM Peak, Preston W

F.2.2.2 Local Plan Impact with mitigation vs Reference Case – Comparison with Scenario 1




Figure F.2-158. V/C Ratio Comparison, Local Plan Sustainable Mitigation vs Reference, 2041 AM Peak, Preston Broughton




Figure F.2-159. V/C Ratio Comparison, Local Plan Sustainable Mitigation vs Reference, 2041 Interpeak, Preston Broughtton




Figure F.2-160. V/C Ratio Comparison, Local Plan Sustainable Mitigation vs Reference, 2041 PM Peak, Preston Broughtton




Figure F.2-161. V/C Ratio Comparison, Local Plan Sustainable Mitigation vs Reference, 2041 AM Peak, Preston CC




Figure F.2-162. V/C Ratio Comparison, Local Plan Sustainable Mitigation vs Reference, 2041 Interpeak, Preston CC




Figure F.2-163. V/C Ratio Comparison, Local Plan Sustainable Mitigation vs Reference, 2041 PM Peak, Preston CC




Figure F.2-164. V/C Ratio Comparison, Local Plan Sustainable Mitigation vs Reference, 2041 AM Peak, Preston E




Figure F.2-165. V/C Ratio Comparison, Local Plan Sustainable Mitigation vs Reference, 2041 Interpeak, Preston E




Figure F.2-167. V/C Ratio Comparison, Local Plan Sustainable Mitigation vs Reference, 2041 AM Peak, Preston N




Figure F.2-170. V/C Ratio Comparison, Local Plan Sustainable Mitigation vs Reference, 2041 AM Peak, Preston NE




Figure F.2-171. V/C Ratio Comparison, Local Plan Sustainable Mitigation vs Reference, 2041 Interpeak, Preston NE




Figure F.2-172. V/C Ratio Comparison, Local Plan Sustainable Mitigation vs Reference, 2041 PM Peak, Preston NE




Figure F.2-173. V/C Ratio Comparison, Local Plan Sustainable Mitigation vs Reference, 2041 AM Peak, Preston NW




Figure F.2-174. V/C Ratio Comparison, Local Plan Sustainable Mitigation vs Reference, 2041 Interpeak, Preston NW




Figure F.2-175. V/C Ratio Comparison, Local Plan Sustainable Mitigation vs Reference, 2041 PM Peak, Preston NW




Figure F.2-176. V/C Ratio Comparison, Local Plan Sustainable Mitigation vs Reference, 2041 AM Peak, Preston W




Figure F.2-177. V/C Ratio Comparison, Local Plan Sustainable Mitigation vs Reference, 2041 Interpeak, Preston W




Figure F.2-178. V/C Ratio Comparison, Local Plan Sustainable Mitigation vs Reference, 2041 PM Peak, Preston W

F.2.3 South Ribble

F.2.3.1 Scenario 3a V/C




Figure F.2-179. V/C Ratio, Local Plan Sustainable Mitigation, 2041 AM Peak, South Ribble




Figure F.2-180. V/C Ratio, Local Plan Sustainable Mitigation, 2041 Interpeak, South Ribble




Figure F.2-181. V/C Ratio, Local Plan Sustainable Mitigation, 2041 PM Peak, South Ribble




Figure F.2-182. V/C Ratio, Local Plan Sustainable Mitigation, 2041 AM Peak, South Ribble E




Figure F.2-183. V/C Ratio, Local Plan Sustainable Mitigation, 2041 Interpeak, South Ribble E




Figure F.2-184. V/C Ratio, Local Plan Sustainable Mitigation, 2041 PM Peak, South Ribble E




Figure F.2-185. V/C Ratio, Local Plan Sustainable Mitigation, 2041 AM Peak, South Ribble Samlesbury




Figure F.2-186. V/C Ratio, Local Plan Sustainable Mitigation, 2041 Interpeak, South Ribble Samlesbury




Figure F.2-187. V/C Ratio, Local Plan Sustainable Mitigation, 2041 PM Peak, South Ribble Samlesbury




Figure F.2-188. V/C Ratio, Local Plan Sustainable Mitigation, 2041 AM Peak, South Ribble W




Figure F.2-189. V/C Ratio, Local Plan Sustainable Mitigation, 2041 Interpeak, South Ribble W




Figure F.2-190. V/C Ratio, Local Plan Sustainable Mitigation, 2041 PM Peak, South Ribble W

F.2.3.2 Local Plan Impact with mitigation vs Reference Case – Comparison with Scenario 1




Figure F.2-191. V/C Ratio Comparison, Local Plan Sustainable Mitigation vs Reference, 2041 AM Peak, South Ribble




Figure F.2-192. V/C Ratio Comparison, Local Plan Sustainable Mitigation vs Reference, 2041 Interpeak, South Ribble




Figure F.2-193. V/C Ratio Comparison, Local Plan Sustainable Mitigation vs Reference, 2041 PM Peak, South Ribble




Figure F.2-194. V/C Ratio Comparison, Local Plan Sustainable Mitigation vs Reference, 2041 AM Peak, South Ribble E




Figure F.2-195. V/C Ratio Comparison, Local Plan Sustainable Mitigation vs Reference, 2041 Interpeak, South Ribble E




Figure F.2-196. V/C Ratio Comparison, Local Plan Sustainable Mitigation vs Reference, 2041 PM Peak, South Ribble E