

Response ID ANON-XXXX-WAF2-8

Submitted to Central Lancashire Local Plan Regulation 19 Representation Period
Submitted on 2025-04-12 16:45:23

Part A: Personal Details

1 What is your title?

Mr

2 What is your first name?

First Name:
William

3 What is your last name?

Last name:
Taylor

4 What is your job title? (if relevant to the representation)

Job title:

5 What is your organisation? (if relevant to the representation)

Organisation:

6 What Authority do live/work in?

7 What is your address?

Address line 1:

8 What is your email address?

Email:

Part B: Representation

9 Which part of the Central Lancashire Local Plan would you like to make a representation about?

Chorley Site Allocations

Chorley Site Allocations

270 Which site allocation would you like to make a representation for?

site allocation reference:
HS2.34

271 Do you consider the Central Lancashire Local Plan is:

Spatial Vision: Soundness/legal/DtC - Legally Compliant:
Yes

Spatial Vision: Soundness/legal/DtC - Sound (If you check 'No', please also confirm below which of the 'tests' it fails to meet):
No

Spatial Vision: Soundness/legal/DtC - a) Positively prepared:

No

Spatial Vision: Soundness/legal/DtC - b) Justified:

No

Spatial Vision: Soundness/legal/DtC - c) Effective:

No

Spatial Vision: Soundness/legal/DtC - d) Consistent with national policy:

Yes

Spatial Vision: Soundness/legal/DtC - Complies with the Duty to Cooperate:

Yes

272 Please give details of why you consider the Central Lancashire Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible (i.e., if objecting on legal grounds then please quote the specific law that the Central Lancashire Local Plan does not conform with). If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Central Lancashire Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

Chorley site allocations: soundness/legality/DtC:

This site relies on Birch Lane / Hilltop Lane to access Chorley Old Road. None of these roads are suitable to the increase in traffic that would be implied by the development of this site or the adjacent proposed site.

- Birch Lane, for the last 180m towards its junction with Chorley Old Road is very narrow and constricted by parked cars (that have nowhere else to park) and the road layout. The junction with Chorley Old Rd suffers from poor and inadequate sight lines. These are exacerbated by more parked cars and the bridge over the former canal just to the north.
- Hilltop Lane lacks pavements for most of its length, is narrow and unlit. It is not suitable for pedestrian traffic and given the margins on either side is unlikely to be made so. There is already a site with permission for 85 dwellings at the quarry which will use this road. The bridge over the old canal is Grade 2 listed and the junction with Chorley Old Road is already very busy with the adjacent access to commercial premises and the junction of Mill Lane. It also has the constraints of many parked cars.
- Chorley Old Road itself is already very busy and suffers from many parked cars as properties on it often have no off road parking. The cumulative effect of the three sites proposed in this plan which need to gain access via it and the site already consented at the quarry will have a significant further detrimental effect on the safety and usability of the road and the quality of life for the local residents.

273 Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Central Lancashire Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified in the question above. (Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at Examination). You will need to say why each modification will make the Central Lancashire Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Chorley site allocations: modifications:

I would respectfully urge the Inspector to consider the following modification:

- Removal of the site in its entirety from the proposed plan due to the lack of adequate access

274 Do you wish to make another representation?

No

Declaration

300 This is the final page of the Regulation 19 Consultation survey. After completing this page, your response will be submitted. If you wish to go back and make a representation on another part of the survey, please click 'go back' below.

Not Answered

301 If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)?

No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)

302 If you have answered 'yes' to the question above, wishing to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

why participation is necessary:

303 By completing and submitting this representation, I agree to my name (and other relevant details necessary) and representation(s) being shared and made available for public viewing.

I agree to my name (and other relevant details necessary) and representation(s) being shared and made available for public viewing.

304 If you wish to be contacted further at future stages of the Local Plan process and to be added to the Central Lancashire and/or district individual consultation databases, please select the relevant option below

Future stages on the Local Plan - I wish to be contacted at future stages of the Local Plan process and added to the Local Plan Consultation database for Central Lancashire:

Yes

Future stages on the Local Plan - I wish to be contacted about Planning Policy matters and added to the Chorley Consultation database.:

Yes

Future stages on the Local Plan - I wish to be contacted about Planning Policy matters and added to the South Ribble Consultation database.:

Yes

Future stages on the Local Plan - I wish to be contacted about Planning Policy matters and added to the Preston Consultation database.:

Yes