

# Response ID ANON-XXXX-WAFT-A

Submitted to Central Lancashire Local Plan Regulation 19 Representation Period  
Submitted on 2025-04-13 13:23:15

## Part A: Personal Details

1 What is your title?

Ms

2 What is your first name?

First Name:

James

3 What is your last name?

Last name:

Jepson

4 What is your job title? (if relevant to the representation)

Job title:

5 What is your organisation? (if relevant to the representation)

Organisation:

6 What Authority do live/work in?

[REDACTED]

7 What is your address?

Address line 1:

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

8 What is your email address?

Email:

[REDACTED]

## Part B: Representation

9 Which part of the Central Lancashire Local Plan would you like to make a representation about?

South Ribble Site Allocations

South Ribble Site Allocations

280 Which site allocation would you like to make a representation for?

site allocation reference:

HS3.3

281 Do you consider the Central Lancashire Local Plan is:

Spatial Vision: Soundness/legal/DtC - Legally Compliant:

No

Spatial Vision: Soundness/legal/DtC - Sound (If you check 'No', please also confirm below which of the 'tests' it fails to meet):

No

Spatial Vision: Soundness/legal/DtC - a) Positively prepared:

No

Spatial Vision: Soundness/legal/DtC - b) Justified:

No

Spatial Vision: Soundness/legal/DtC - c) Effective:

No

Spatial Vision: Soundness/legal/DtC - d) Consistent with national policy:

Yes

Spatial Vision: Soundness/legal/DtC - Complies with the Duty to Cooperate:

Yes

282 Please give details of why you consider the Central Lancashire Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible (i.e., if objecting on legal grounds then please quote the specific law that the Central Lancashire Local Plan does not conform with). If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Central Lancashire Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

South Ribble site allocations: soundness/legality/DtC:

The land off Chapel Lane not only floods, not is a haven for wildlife (deer, owl, and hare regularly frequent this).

Chapel lane itself is heavily congested ATM school times. Adding additional homes will increase risk of injury to school children exiting the school, particularly as any children living in the new estate will have to travel or if the village for a school placement. This only increases the traffic chaos.

Furthermore, there is a bottleneck at the bottom of chapel lane by The Ram where the road is single lane only. Adding in further vehicles will make this significantly worse. Adding in increased risk to children exiting the second primary school which cross there.

No additional provision for schools, doctors, or dentists have been made

The additional houses are not in keeping with the village. Too many houses being approved and built currently which is destroying the village community feel.

Finally, the A582 out to the motorway network, which most new occupants will use, is already heavily congested at peak times. 20-30 minute queues are not uncommon between 730-9 and 1600-1800. The additional houses proposed all round south Ribble will make this road even worse. This road needs widening to dual carriageway before any houses are built - infrastructure should be built first. Just widening junctions means a race to a bottleneck - multiple crashes on the lostock hall roundabout junction are testament to this

283 Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Central Lancashire Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified in the question above. (Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at Examination). You will need to say why each modification will make the Central Lancashire Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

South Ribble site allocations: modifications:

The land off Chapel Lane not only floods, not is a haven for wildlife (deer, owl, and hare regularly frequent this).

Chapel lane itself is heavily congested ATM school times. Adding additional homes will increase risk of injury to school children exiting the school, particularly as any children living in the new estate will have to travel or if the village for a school placement. This only increases the traffic chaos.

Furthermore, there is a bottleneck at the bottom of chapel lane by The Ram where the road is single lane only. Adding in further vehicles will make this significantly worse. Adding in increased risk to children exiting the second primary school which cross there.

No additional provision for schools, doctors, or dentists have been made

The additional houses are not in keeping with the village. Too many houses being approved and built currently which is destroying the village community feel.

Finally, the A582 out to the motorway network, which most new occupants will use, is already heavily congested at peak times. 20-30 minute queues are not uncommon between 730-9 and 1600-1800. The additional houses proposed all round south Ribble will make this road even worse. This road needs widening to dual carriageway before any houses are built - infrastructure should be built first. Just widening junctions means a race to a bottleneck - multiple crashes on the lostock hall roundabout junction are testament to this

284 Do you wish to make another representation?

No

## Declaration

300 This is the final page of the Regulation 19 Consultation survey. After completing this page, your response will be submitted. If you wish to go back and make a representation on another part of the survey, please click 'go back' below.

Not Answered

301 If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)?

No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)

302 If you have answered 'yes' to the question above, wishing to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

why participation is necessary:

303 By completing and submitting this representation, I agree to my name (and other relevant details necessary) and representation(s) being shared and made available for public viewing.

I agree to my name (and other relevant details necessary) and representation(s) being shared and made available for public viewing.

304 If you wish to be contacted further at future stages of the Local Plan process and to be added to the Central Lancashire and/or district individual consultation databases, please select the relevant option below

Future stages on the Local Plan - I wish to be contacted at future stages of the Local Plan process and added to the Local Plan Consultation database for Central Lancashire:

Yes

Future stages on the Local Plan - I wish to be contacted about Planning Policy matters and added to the Chorley Consultation database.:

No

Future stages on the Local Plan - I wish to be contacted about Planning Policy matters and added to the South Ribble Consultation database.:

No

Future stages on the Local Plan - I wish to be contacted about Planning Policy matters and added to the Preston Consultation database.:

No